arXiv:math/9804002v1 [math.FA] 1 Apr 1998

RENORMINGS OF $L_p(L_q)$

by

R. Deville*, R. Gonzalo and J. A. Jaramillo****

(*) Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université Bordeaux I, 351, cours de la Libération, 33400 Talence, FRANCE.
email : *deville@math.u-bordeaux.fr*

(**) Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, SPAIN.
email : *raquel26@eucmax.sim.ucm.es* and *jaramil@eucmax.sim.ucm.es*

Abstract : *We investigate the best order of smoothness of $L_p(L_q)$. We prove in particular that there exists a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-smooth bump function on $L_p(L_q)$ if and only if p and q are even integers and p is a multiple of q.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 46B03, 46B20, 46B25, 46B30.
Key words : Higher order smoothness, Renormings, Geometry of Banach spaces, L_p spaces.

(**) The research of second and the third author has been partially supported by DGICYT grant PB 93-0452.

Introduction

The first results on high order differentiability of the norm in a Banach space were given by Kurzweil [10] in 1954, and Bonic and Frampton [2] in 1965. In [2] the best order of differentiability of an equivalent norm on classical L_p-spaces, $1 < p < \infty$, is given. The best order of smoothness of equivalent norms and of bump functions in Orlicz spaces has been investigated by Maleev and Troyanski (see [13]).

The work of Leonard and Sundaresan [11] contains a systematic investigation of the high order differentiability of the norm function in the Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces $L_p(X)$. Their results relate the continuously n-times differentiability of the norm on X and of the norm on $L_p(X)$.

We improve the results in [11] in the following sense: if $1 < r \leq p$ and the space X admits a norm which is C^n-smooth, where n is the largest integer strictly less than r and such that its n-th derivative is $(r-n)$-Hölder on the unit sphere, then the norm on the space $L_p(X)$ has the same properties of differentiability.

In the case $X = L_q$, the order of differentiability of the norm given by the above result is, actually, the best possible for bump functions and, consequently, also the best possible for equivalent renormings. For the results of non existence of high order differentiable bump functions we investigate the situation in the subspace $\bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$. As a consequence of our results we obtain that there is no twice Fréchet differentiable bump on the space $\bigoplus_{\ell_2} \ell_4^n$, although this space has even a twice Gâteaux differentiable norm, as it was proved in [12].

This paper is divided into three sections. In Section I we introduce functions with Taylor expansions of order p, which are functions that verify Taylor's Formula around each point. This notion is strictly weaker than the notion of p-times differentiability in the case of p being an integer greater or equal than 2, and than other categories of differentiability given in [2]. The main result in this section is Theorem 1-1, where we prove that if a Banach space has modulus of convexity of power type p and a bump function with Taylor expansion of order p at every point, then it has a separating polynomial. This result improves Theorem 1 of [4], and it relies on a different and much simpler proof, using the variational principle of Stegall [16]. Applying this to the classical space L_p we improve the result of [2] (Corollary 1-2). Some variants are given in Theorem 1-5 for the case of spaces with modulus of convexity with directional power type p.

Section II is devoted to results of high order differentiability of the norm on $L_p(X)$. In the main result of this section, Theorem 2-1, starting with a p-homogeneous, C^n-smooth function on X with n-th derivative $(r-n)$-Hölder on the unit sphere (where $1 < r \leq p$ and n is the largest integer strictly less than r) we construct a function on $L_p(X)$ with the same properties of differentiability. In Theorem 2-3 we use this to prove the result on higher order differentiability of the norm on $L_p(X)$ mentioned above. In Theorem 2-5 an analogue for bump functions is obtained.

Finally, in Section III, we give the best order of differentiability of equivalent renormings on the space $L_p(L_q)$ in Theorem 3-1. In order to do it, we show in Theorem 3-2 that if the space $\bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$ has a bump function with Taylor expansion of order p at every point, then p, q are both even integers and p is a multiple of q. In the proof of this Theorem, we obtain that $\bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$ admits a separating polynomial if and only if p, q are both even

integers and p is a multiple of q. We conclude from this that if the space $L_p(L_q)$ contains $\bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$, (and this occurs except in trivial situations) then $L_p(L_q)$ has a bump function with Taylor expansion of order p at every point if and only if p, q are both even integers and p is a multiple of q.

I. Smooth norms in super-reflexive spaces

Let X be a real Banach space, let $p \geq 1$ be a real number and let f be a real valued function defined on X. We say that f has a *Taylor expansion of order* p at the point $x \in X$, if there is a polynomial P of degree at most n, where $n = [p]$ is the integer part of p, verifying that

$$|f(x+h) - f(x) - P(h)| = o(\|h\|^p).$$

We say that f is T^p*-smooth* if it has a Taylor expansion of order p at every point. Note that if f is m-times Fréchet differentiable on X, then from Taylor's theorem we have that f is T^p-smooth for $1 \leq p \leq m$. In the same way as in [3], it is possible to obtain some standard properties of T^p-smooth functions: in particular, the composition of two T^p-smooth functions is again T^p-smooth.

As usual, a real-valued function on X is said to be a *bump function* if it has bounded nonempty support. We say that a polynomial P on X is a *separating polynomial* if $P(0) = 0$ and $P(x) \geq 1$ for all x in the unit sphere of X. It is known (and very easy to prove) that if X admits a separating polynomial, then X admits a C^∞-smooth bump function.

Recall that the norm $\|.\|$ on a Banach space X has *modulus of convexity of power type* p if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for each $\varepsilon \in [0, 2]$,

$$\delta(\varepsilon) := \inf\{1 - \|\frac{x+y}{2}\| : x, y \in X; \|x\| \leq 1; \|y\| \leq 1; \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon\} \geq C\varepsilon^p.$$

Theorem 1.1 *Let $p \geq 1$ be a real number and let X be a Banach space. Let us assume :*

1) There exists on X a T^p-smooth bump function.

2) The norm of X is uniformly convex with modulus of convexity of power type p.

Then there exists on X a separating polynomial of degree $\leq [p]$.

Let $p \geq 1$ be a real number which is not an even integer, and suppose that (for some measure space) the space L_p is infinite dimensional. Kurzweil [10] proved that L_p does not admit any $C^{[p]}$-smooth bump function. Bonic and Frampton [2] showed that in fact L_p does not admit any B^p-smooth bump function. Recall that, according to Bonic and Frampton, a function f is said to be B^p*-smooth* if the following is satisfied :

(a) When p is an integer, f is B^p-smooth if it is p-times Fréchet differentiable.

(b) When p is not an integer, f is B^p-smooth if it is n-times Fréchet differentiable, where $n = [p]$, and for every x in X

$$|f^{(n)}(x+h) - f^{(n)}(x)| = o(\|h\|^{p-n}).$$

A real valued function on X is said to be *H^p-smooth* if f is C^n on X, where n is the largest integer strictly less than p, and the n-th derivative $f^{(n)}$ is locally uniformly $(p-n)$-Hölder, that is, for every $x \in X$, there are $\delta > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f^{(n)}(y) - f^{(n)}(z)\| \leq M\|y - z\|^{p-n}$$

for $y, z \in B(x; \delta)$. If the above inequality holds for every $y, z \in X$ (with the same constant $M > 0$), we say that f is *uniformly H^p-smooth*. In the same way, a *norm* is said to be uniformly H^p-smooth if it is C^n on $X - \{0\}$ and the n-th derivative is $(p-n)$-Hölder on the unit sphere.
It is clear that a uniformly H^p-smooth function need not be T^p-smooth, but every B^p-smooth function (and therefore every H^q-smooth function for $q > p$) is T^p-smooth; on the other hand, elementary examples on the real line show that the converse is not true if $p \geq 2$. Therefore, the following corollary improves the result of Bonic and Frampton.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $p \geq 1$ be a real number which is not an even integer. Assume that L_p is infinite dimensional. Then there is no T^p-smooth bump function on L_p.*

Proof of Corollary 1.2: Assume there exists a T^p-smooth bump on L_p. Since the modulus of convexity of the norm in L_p is of power type p, Theorem 1-1 shows that there is a separating polynomial on L_p, hence there exists a C^∞-smooth bump on L_p, which contradicts the results of Kurzweil, Bonic and Frampton given above.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we shall use the following elementary lemmas from M. Fabian et al.

Lemma 1.3. **[6]** *Let $\delta(\varepsilon)$ be the modulus of convexity of the norm $\|.\|$ of X. Let $x, h \in X$ and $f \in X^*$ such that $f(x) = \|x\| = \|f\| = 1$, $f(h) = 0$ and $\varepsilon \leq \|h\| \leq 2$. Then :*

$$\|x + h\| \geq 1 + \delta(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$$

Consequently, if the norm has modulus of convexity of power type p, if $x, h \in X$ and $f \in X^*$ are such that $f(x) = \|x\| \neq 0, \|f\| = 1, f(h) = 0$ and $\|h\| \leq 2\|x\|$, then :

$$\|x + h\| - \|x\| \geq C\|x\|^{1-p}\|h\|^p$$

Lemma 1.4. **[6]** *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let F be a finite codimensional subspace of a Banach space X. Assume that P is a polynomial on X of degree $\leq k$ which is a separating polynomial on F. Then there is a separating polynomial of degree $\leq k$ on X.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let b be a bump function on X with Taylor expansion of order p at any point and such that $b(0) = 0$. Let $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the function defined

by : $\varphi(x) = \dfrac{1}{b(x)^2}$ if $b(x) \neq 0$ and $\varphi(x) = +\infty$ otherwise. The function $\varphi - \|.\|$ is lower semicontinuous, bounded below and identically equal to $+\infty$ outside a bounded set. On the other hand, since X is uniformly convex, it has the Radon-Nikodym property. According to Stegall variational principle [16], there exists $g \in X^*$ (actually a dense $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ in X^* of such g's) such that $\varphi - \|.\| + g$ attains its minimum at some point x. So for every $h \in X$:

$$\varphi(x+h) - \|x+h\| + g(x+h) \geq \varphi(x) - \|x\| + g(x)$$

Consequently :

$$\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) + g(h) \geq \|x+h\| - \|x\|$$

Next $x \neq 0$ because $\varphi(0) = +\infty$. Let $f \in X^*$ such that $\|f\| = 1$ and $f(x) = \|x\|$. Using lemma 1-3, for every $h \in Ker(f) \cap Ker(g)$:

$$\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) \geq \|x+h\| - \|x\| \geq C\|x\|^{1-p}\|h\|^p$$

If we denote $C(x) = C\|x\|^{1-p}$, we thus have :

$$\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) \geq C(x)\|h\|^p$$

We now use the fact that φ has a Taylor expansion of order p at x : there exists a polynomial P of degree $\leq [p]$ and a function R such that $\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) = P(h) + R(h)$, $P(0) = 0$ and $\lim\limits_{h\to 0} \dfrac{R(h)}{\|h\|^p} = 0$. We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|R(h)| \leq \dfrac{C(x)}{2}\|h\|^p$ whenever $h \in X, \|h\| = \varepsilon$. Therefore, if $h \in Ker(f) \cap Ker(g)$ and $\|h\| = \varepsilon$, then :

$$P(h) \geq \frac{C(x)}{2}\|h\|^p$$

The polynomial Q defined by

$$Q(h) = \frac{2}{C(x)\varepsilon^p} P(\varepsilon h)$$

is a separating polynomial of degree $\leq [p]$ on $Ker(f) \cap Ker(g)$. By lemma 1-4, there is a separating polynomial of degree $\leq [p]$ on X.

Variants of Theorem 1-1 are possible : Recall that the norm $\|.\|$ on a Banach space X has modulus of convexity of directional power type p if for every finite dimensional subspace $F \subset X$, there exists a constant $C_F > 0$ such that for each $\varepsilon \in [0, 2]$,

$$\delta_F(\varepsilon) := \inf\{1 - \|\frac{x+y}{2}\|; x, y \in F; \|x\| \leq 1; \|y\| \leq 1; \|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon\} \geq C_F\varepsilon^p.$$

The above proof shows the following result :

Theorem 1.5. *Let $p \geq 1$ be a real number and let X be a Banach space. Let us assume :*

(1) There exists on X a bump function with Taylor expansion of order p at any point.

(2) The norm of X is uniformly convex with modulus of convexity of directional power type p.

Then there exists on X a polynomial Q (of degree $\leq p$) such that $Q(h) > 0$ whenever $h \in X$ and $\|h\| = 1$.

Proof: Indeed, following the proof of Theorem 1-1, We obtain $f, g \in X^*$, $\varepsilon > 0$, a constant $C(x, F) > 0$ and a polynomial P of degree $[p]$ such that $P(0) = 0$ and $P(h) \geq C(x, F)\|h\|^p$ whenever $h \in F \subset Ker(f) \cap Ker(g)$ and $\|h\| = \varepsilon$. The polynomial Q defined by $Q(h) = P(\varepsilon h) + f^2(h) + g^2(h)$ satisfies $Q(0) = 0$ and $Q(h) > 0$ whenever $h \in X$ and $\|h\| = 1$.

The following variant of Theorem 1-1 will be used in section 3.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and let X be a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property satisfying the following property :*

"For all $x \in X$ there is a constant $C > 0$, such that for each $\delta > 0$ there is a finite codimensional subspace H_δ of X, such that $\|x + h\| - \|x\| \geq C\|h\|^p$ for all $h \in H_\delta$ with $\|h\| = \delta$."

If X has a T^p-smooth bump function, then it has a separating polynomial.

Proof : We proceed as in Theorem 1-1 : Let b a bump function which is T^p-smooth and such that $b \geq 0$ and $b(0) = 0$. We consider φ defined in the proof of Theorem 1-1, and, proceeding in the same way as there, there exists $g \in X^*$ such that $\varphi - \|.\| + g$ attains its minimun at some point $x \in dom(\varphi)$. Therefore, for every $h \in Ker(g)$:

$$\varphi(x + h) - \varphi(x) \geq \|x + h\| - \|x\|.$$

For such a point x, there is a constant $C > 0$ verifying that for each $\delta > 0$ there is a finite codimensional subspace H_δ of X such that

$$\varphi(x + h) - \varphi(x) \geq C\|h\|^p,$$

for all $h \in H_\delta \cap Ker(g)$ with $\|h\| = \delta$. Next, we use that the function φ has a Taylor expansion of order p at x. Then we may choose some $0 < \delta \leq 1$ such that if $h \in X$ with $\|h\| \leq \delta$:

$$|\varphi(x + h) - \varphi(x) - P(h)| \leq \frac{C}{2}\|h\|^p$$

where P is a polynomial of degree $\leq [p]$ vanishing at the origin. Therefore, for $h \in H_0 = H_\delta \cap Ker(g)$, with $\|h\| = \delta$ we have that

$$|P(h)| \geq \varphi(x + h) - \varphi(x) - \frac{C}{2}\delta^p \geq \frac{C}{2}\delta^p.$$

From the above the existence of a separating polynomial on H_0 follows. Since H_0 is a finite codimensional subspace of X, by Lemma 1-4 the space X has a separating polynomial, as we required.

II. Smoothness of $L_p(X)$

In what follows, let $1 < p < \infty$ and let (Ω, μ) a measure space such that the corresponding L_p-space is infinite-dimensional. Recall that for a Banach space X the function space $L_p(X)$ is defined as follows :

$$L_p(X) = \big\{u : \Omega \to X / u \text{ measurable and } \|u\|_p = \Big(\int_\Omega \|u(s))\|^p d\mu(s)\Big)^{1/p} < \infty\big\}.$$

As usual, a real valued function f on X is said to be p-homogeneous if $f(\lambda x) = |\lambda|^p f(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space, let $1 < r \leq p$ and consider n the largest integer strictly less than r. Let f be a p-homogeneous real function defined on X. Suppose that f is C^n on $X - \{0\}$ and $f^{(n)}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on the unit sphere of X. Then, the function $\widehat{f}$ on $L_p(X)$ defined by*

$$\widehat{f}(u) = \int_\Omega f(u(s))d\mu(s)$$

is a p-homogeneous C^n-smooth function on $L_p(X)$ and $\widehat{f}^{(n)}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on bounded subsets of $L_p(X)$.

We first establish the following lemma :

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space, let $1 < r \leq p$ and consider n the largest integer strictly less than r. Let f be a p-homogeneous real function defined on X and suppose that f is C^n on $X - \{0\}$ and $f^{(n)}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on the unit sphere of X.*

Then f is C^n-smooth on X, $f^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and there exist constants $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n > 0$ so that :

(1) $\|f^{(n)}(x) - f^{(n)}(y)\| \leq C_n(max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\})^{p-r}\|x - y\|^{r-n}$ for every $x, y \in X$.

(2) $\|f^{(j)}(x) - f^{(j)}(y)\| \leq C_j(max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\})^{p-(j+1)}\|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof of lemma 2.2 : Since f is p-homogeneous, its derivatives are positively homogeneous, that is, $f^{(j)}(\lambda x) = \lambda^{p-j} f^{(j)}(x)$ for every $\lambda > 0, x \neq 0$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Since $f^{(n)}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on the unit sphere of X, there exists a constant $K_n > 0$ such that

$$\|f^{(n)}(x) - f^{(n)}(y)\| \leq K_n\|x - y\|^{r-n}$$

whenever $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. Now, fix x, y in X with $0 < \|y\| \leq \|x\|$, and consider $z = \frac{\|y\|}{\|x\|}x$. Then, since $\|z\| = \|y\|$,

$$\|f^{(n)}(z) - f^{(n)}(y)\| = \big\|f^{(n)}\big(\frac{z}{\|z\|}\big) - f^{(n)}\big(\frac{y}{\|y\|}\big)\big\|\|y\|^{p-n} \leq K_n\|z - y\|^{r-n}\|y\|^{p-r};$$

and

$$\|z-y\| = \frac{1}{\|x\|}\Big\|x\|y\| - \|x\|y\Big\| \leq \Big|\|y\| - \|x\|\Big| + \|x-y\| \leq 2\|x-y\|.$$

Hence,

$$\|f^{(n)}(z) - f^{(n)}(y)\| \leq 2^{r-n}K_n\|y\|^{p-r}\|x-y\|^{r-n} \leq$$
$$\leq K'_n(max\{\|x\|,\|y\|\})^{p-r}\|x-y\|^{r-n} \qquad (*)$$

Now,

$$\|f^{(n)}(x) - f^{(n)}(z)\| = \Big(1 - \big(\frac{\|y\|}{\|x\|}\big)\Big)^{p-n}\|f^{(n)}(x)\| = (\|x\|^{p-n} - \|y\|^{p-n})\|f^{(n)}\big(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\big)\|.$$

The function $f^{(n)}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on S_X, and consequently it is uniformly bounded on the unit sphere; hence, by homogeneity, there is a constant $D_n > 0$ such that $\|f^{(n)}(x)\| \leq D_n\|x\|^{p-n}$ for all $x \neq 0$.

Now, we consider two cases : either $p-n > 1$, or $0 < p-n \leq 1$. In the first case, By the mean value theorem applied to the real function $\varphi(t) = t^{p-n}$, we have

$$\Big|\|x\|^{p-n} - \|y\|^{p-n}\Big| \leq (p-n)(max\{\|x\|,\|y\|\})^{p-n-1}\|x-y\| \leq$$

$$\leq (p-n)(max\{\|x\|,\|y\|\})^{p-n-1}(\|x\| + \|y\|)^{1-r+n}\|x-y\|^{r-n}$$

$$\leq 2^{1-r+n}\max\{\|x\|,\|y\|\}^{p-r}\|x-y\|^{r-n}.$$

Otherwise, if $0 < p-n \leq 1$, then

$$\Big|\|x\|^{p-n} - \|y\|^{p-n}\Big| \leq \|x-y\|^{p-n} \leq (\|x\| + \|y\|)^{p-r}\|x-y\|^{r-n}$$

$$\leq 2^{p-r}(\max\{\|x\|,\|y\|\})^{p-r}\|x-y\|^{r-n}.$$

Hence, in both cases we have that for some constant K''_n,

$$\|f^{(n)}(x) - f^{(n)}(z)\| \leq K''_n(max\{\|x\|,\|y\|\})^{p-r}\|x-y\|^{r-n}(**)$$

From (*) and (**) we obtain (1). As a consequence of it, we obtain (2) for all $x, y \neq 0$. Indeed, consider first $j = n-1$ and $x, y \neq 0$. In the case that $0 \notin [x,y]$, by the mean value theorem applied to the function $f^{(n-1)}$ we have :

$$\|f^{(n-1)}(x) - f^{(n-1)}(y)\| \leq \sup_{w\in[x,y]}\|f^{(n)}(w)\|.\|x-y\| \leq$$

$$\leq D_n \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}^{p-n}\|x-y\|.$$

Otherwise, if $0 \in [x,y]$, then the linear span of x coincides whith that of y; assuming that $dimX \geq 2$, we may choose $z \in X$ such that z does not belong to the linear span of x and verifies that $\|z\| = \min\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} > 0$. Then,

$$\|f^{(n-1)}(x) - f^{(n-1)}(y)\| \leq \|f^{(n-1)}(x) - f^{(n-1)}(z)\| + \|f^{(n-1)}(z) - f^{(n-1)}(y)\| \leq$$

and since $0 \notin [x,z] \cup [y,z]$, applying again the mean value theorem,

$$\leq D_n \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}^{p-n}(\|x-y\| + \|z-y\|)$$

and since $\|x-z\|, \|z-y\| \leq \|x-y\|$, we have (2) for $j = n-1$. In an analogous way, using that $f^{(n-1)}$ is bounded in S_X (it is indeed Lipschitz), and proceeding as above, (ii) is obtained for $x, y \neq 0$.

In particular, each $f^{(j)}$ is bounded in S_X; and by homogeneity, for all $x \neq 0$,

$$\|f^{(j)}(x)\| \leq D_j \|x\|^{p-j}.$$

This implies that f is n-times differentiable at 0 and that $f^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore (1) and (2) hold indeed for all $x, y \in X$, and consequently $f \in C^n(X)$. Finally, the result is clear if $dim(X) = 1$, since all p-homogeneous function on $\mathbb{R}$ are of the form $f(t) = a|t|^p$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: The function taking $s \to f(u(s))$ is measurable, since f is a continuous function. On the other hand, since $|f(x)| \leq C\|x\|^p$ for all $x \in X$, the function $\widehat{f}$ is well defined; indeed,

$$\int_\Omega |f(u(s))| d\mu(s) \leq \int_\Omega C\|u(s)\|^p d\mu(s) < \infty.$$

Now, for each $u \in L_p(X)$ and each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let $P_j(u)$ be the j-homogeneous polynomial on $L_p(X)$ defined by

$$P_j(u)(h) = \int_\Omega f^{(j)}\big(u(s)\big)\big(h(s)\big) d\mu(s)$$

for all $h \in L_p(X)$. These polynomials are well defined; indeed, $s \to f^{(j)}(u(s))(h(s))$ is a measurable function and, since $\|f^{(j)}(x)\| \leq C_j\|x\|^{p-j}$ for all $x \in X$, we have

$$\int_\Omega \big|f^{(j)}\big(u(s)\big)\big(h(s)\big)\big| d\mu(s) \leq \int_\Omega C_j\|u(s)\|^{p-j}\|h(s)\|^j \leq$$

and by Hölder's inequality

$$\leq C_j\Big(\int_\Omega \|u(s)\|^p d\mu(s)\Big)^{\frac{p-j}{p}}\Big(\int_\Omega \|h(s)\|^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

In order to obtain that $\widehat{f}$ is $C^{(n)}$-smooth on $L_p(X)$ and $\widehat{f}^{(j)} = P_j$, we apply the converse of Taylor's Theorem (see e.g. [1, Th. 4-11]). To apply this, we need to prove that $\widehat{f}$ has a Taylor expansion of order n at any $u \in L_p(X)$ (with the polynomial $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j!} P_j(u)$) and, also, that the function $u \to P_j(u)$ is continuous for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We begin with the second requirement. Consider $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $u, v \in L_p(X)$; then :

$$|(P_j(u) - P_j(v))(h)| \leq \int_\Omega \|f^{(j)}(u(s)) - f^{(j)}(v(s))\| \|h(s)\|^j d\mu(s) \leq$$

and by (ii) of Lemma 2-2,

$$\leq C_j \int_\Omega max\{\|u(s)\|, \|v(s)\|\}^{p-j-1} \|u(s) - v(s)\| \|h(s)\|^j d\mu(s) \leq$$

and by Hölder's inequality, if we consider the function $\Psi \in L_p(\Omega)$ defined by $\Psi(s) = max\{\|u(s)\|, \|v(s)\|\}$, then

$$\leq C_j \|\Psi\|^{p-j-1} \|u - v\| \|h\|^j$$

$$\leq C_j (\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p)^{\frac{p-j-1}{p}} \|u - v\| \|h\|^j.$$

Therefore, the function $u \to P_j(u)$ is Lipschitz on bounded subsets for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, and in particular, it is continous. Analogously,

$$|(P_n(u) - P_n(v))(h)| \leq C_n \|\Psi\|^{p-r} \|u - v\|^{r-n} \|h\|^n$$

$$\leq C_n (\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p)^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \|u - v\|^{r-n} \|h\|^n,$$

which imply that $u \to P_n(u)$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on bounded subsets of $L_p(X)$.

To obtain a Taylor expansion for $\widehat{f}$, we apply Taylor's formula with integral remainder; hence, for $x, h \in X$,

$$|f(x+h) - f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(x)(h)| =$$

$$= |\int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (f^{(n)}(x+th) - f^{(n)}(x))(h) dt| \leq \frac{C_n}{n!} (\|x\| + \|h\|)^{p-r} \|h\|^r.$$

Now denote

$$R_n(u; h) = \widehat{f}(u+h) - \widehat{f}(u) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j(u)(h).$$

Then

$$|R_n(u; h)| = \Big| \int_\Omega \Big(f(u(s) + h(s)) - f(u(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(u(s))(h(s)) \Big) d\mu(s) \Big|$$

$$\leq \frac{C_n}{n!}\int_\Omega (\|u(s)\|+\|h(s)\|)^{p-r}\|h(s)\|^r \leq \frac{C_n}{n!}\Big(\int_\Omega (\|u(s)\|+\|h(s)\|)^p\Big)^{\frac{p-r}{p}}\Big(\int_\Omega \|h(s)\|^p\Big)^{\frac{r}{p}}$$

The last inequality above follows from Hölder's inequality. Since $s \to \|u(s)\|+\|h(s)\|$ belongs to $L_p(\Omega)$,

$$|R_n(u;h)| \leq \frac{C_n}{n!}(\|u\|+\|h\|)^{p-r}\|h\|^r.$$

Therefore we have that, for each $u_0 \in L_p(X)$,

$$\lim_{(u,h)\to(u_0,0)} \frac{R_n(u;h)}{\|h\|^n} = 0,$$

and the result follows.

Now we apply the above Theorem to the particular case $X = L_q$ (for a probably different measure space). We obtain the following results concerning the high order differentiability of the norm on $L_p(L_q)$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $X = L_p(L_q)$. Consider $r = min\{p, q\}$. Then :*

If r is not an even integer, or $r = p$ is an even integer, then the norm on X is uniformly H^r-smooth.

If r is an even integer and $r = q$ then the norm in X is uniformly H^p-smooth. Moreover, if p is a multiple of q then the norm is even C^∞-smooth.

Proof of Corollary 2.3: Since $r \leq q$, the norm on L_q is uniformly H^r-smooth [2]; by Theorem 2-1 the function

$$F(u) = \int_\Omega \big((\|u(s)\|_q)^p\big)d\mu(s)$$

is p-homogeneous, C^n-smooth and such that $F^{(n)}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on S_X, where n is the largest integer strictly less than r. Therefore, the norm on X is uniformly H^r-smooth.

If $r = q$ is an even integer, the norm in L_r is C^∞-smooth, and in particular uniformly H^p-smooth. Using again Theorem 2-1, the norm in X is uniformly H^p-smooth. Moreover, if p is a multiple of q then the expression F defines a p-homogeneous separating polynomial on X and the norm is, in particular, C^∞-smooth.

From Theorem 2-1 we have that if the norm of X is uniformly H^r-smooth, and $1 < r \leq p$, then the norm of $L_p(X)$ is also uniformly H^r-smooth. Next we obtain the analogous result for bump functions. To this end, we use the following construction given in [5], which produces an homogeneous smooth function from a smooth bump function:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $1 < r \leq p$ and let n be the largest integer strictly less than r. Assume that a Banach space X admits an uniformly H^r-smooth bump function. Then, there is a p-homogeneous function f on X such that f is C^n-smooth on X, $f^{(n)}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on bounded subsets, and there exist some constants $A, B > 0$, such that*

$$A\|x\|^p \leq f(x) \leq B\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof : Let φ be a uniformly H^r-smooth bump function on X. We proceed as in [5, Proposition II-5-1] : Consider $\widehat{\varphi} = 1 - exp(-\varphi^2)$ and $\widehat{\psi}$ defined by

$$\widehat{\psi}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\varphi}(sx)ds.$$

Set $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\widehat{\psi}(x)}$ if $x \neq 0$ and $\psi(0) = 0$. Then, the function ψ is 1-homogeneous, C^1-smooth on $X - \{0\}$, and

$$a\|x\| \leq \psi(x) \leq b\|x\|;$$

where a, b have been chosen verifying that $\widehat{\varphi}(x) > \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\varphi}(0)$ and $\widehat{\varphi}(x) = 0$ if $\|x\| \geq b$.

Now, given $x - 0 \neq 0$, there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K > 0$, such that if $\|x - x_0\| < \varepsilon$, then

$$\widehat{\psi}(x) = \int_{-K}^{K} \widehat{\varphi}(sx)ds.$$

Then, define for $x \in B(x_0; \varepsilon)$, $h \in X$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$:

$$P_j(x)(h) = \int_{-K}^{K} s^j \widehat{\varphi}^{(j)}(sx)(h)ds.$$

Each mapping $x \to P_j(x)$ is continuous on $B(x_0; \varepsilon)$ and

$$|\widehat{\psi}(x + h) - \widehat{\psi}(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j!} P_j(x)(h)| = o(\|h\|^n),$$

uniformly for $x \in B(x_0; \varepsilon)$. Then, by using the converse of Taylor's theorem (see [1, Th. 4-11]) we obtain that $\widehat{\psi}$ is C^n-smooth and $\widehat{\psi}^{(j)} = P_j$ on $B(x_0; \varepsilon)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore, $\widehat{\psi}$ is C^n-smooth on $X - \{0\}$. In order to prove that $\widehat{\psi}^{(n)}$ is $(r - n)$-Hölder on the unit sphere note that, given $x \in S_X$, if $|s| \geq b$ then $\widehat{\varphi}^{(n)}(sx) = 0$; therefore,

$$\widehat{\psi}^{(n)}(x)(h) = \int_{-b}^{b} s^n \widehat{\varphi}^{(n)}(sx)(h)ds.$$

Hence, for $x, y \in S_X$ and $h \in X$:

$$\widehat{\psi}^{(n)}(x)(h) - \widehat{\psi}^{(n)}(y)(h) = \int_{-b}^{b} s^n \big(\widehat{\varphi}^{(n)}(sx) - \widehat{\varphi}^{(n)}(sy)\big)(h)ds.$$

Using that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is uniformly H^r-smooth, we have that there is a constant $M > 0$, such that

$$\|\widehat{\psi}^{(n)}(x) - \widehat{\psi}^{(n)}(y)\| \leq M\|x - y\|^{r-n}.$$

Therefore, the n-th derivative of $\widehat{\psi}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on the unit sphere, and so is the n-th derivative of ψ. Consider now $f(x) = \psi(x)^p$. By lemma 2.2, the function f satisfies the required conditions.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $1 < r \leq p < \infty$ and let X be a Banach space. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

1) X admits a uniformly H^r-smooth bump function.

2) $L_p(X)$ admits a uniformly H^r-smooth bump function.

3) $L_p(X)$ admits an H^r-smooth bump function.

Proof: First we will prove that (1) implies (2). Assume that X admits a uniformly H^r-smooth bump function, and let n be the largest integer strictly less than r. According to Proposition 2-4 there is a C^n-smooth, p-homogeneous function f on X, such that $f^{(n)}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on bounded subsets, and for some constants $A, B > 0$ we have

$$A\|x\|^p \leq f(x) \leq B\|x\|^p.$$

Consider the function on $L_p(X)$:

$$\widehat{f}(u) = \int_\Omega f(u(s))d\mu(s)$$

By Theorem 2-1, $\widehat{f}$ is C^n-smooth on $L_p(X)$ and $\widehat{f}^{(n)}$ is $(r-n)$-Hölder on bounded subsets. By composing with a convenient C^∞-smooth function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we obtain a uniformly H^r-smooth bump function on $L_p(X)$.

Since (2) implies (3) is trivial, we now prove that (3) implies (1). Assume that $L_p(X)$ admits a H^r-smooth bump function. We claim that X does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0. Indeed: otherwise, the subspace $Y = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} c_0^n$ of $L_p(X)$ would have an H^r-smooth bump function, and therefore a uniformly H^r-smooth bump function, since it does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0 (see [5, Theorem V-3-1]); in particular, Y would be superreflexive, which is not possible. Therefore, since X does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0, it is uniformly H^r-smooth (see [5, Th. V-3-1]) as we required.

III. Best order of smoothness of $L_p(L_q)$

In this section we consider infinite-dimensional spaces L_p and L_q over probably different measure spaces. We prove that the order of differentiability of the norm in the space $L_p(L_q)$ given in Section II is, actually, the best possible for bump functions, and, in particular, for equivalent renormings.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $X = L_p(L_q)$. Consider $r = min\{p, q\}$. Then :*

If r is not an even integer, or $r = p$ is an even integer, then there is no T^r-smooth bump function on X.

If r is an even integer and $r = q$ then there is a T^p-smooth bump function on X if and only if only both p, q are even integers and p is a multiple of q. Moreover, in this case, the space X has a separating polynomial.

In order to prove Theorem 3-1 we investigate the best order of smoothness of a certain subspace of $L_p(L_q)$, namely the subspace $\bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$. Assume that X has a T^p-smooth bump function. Then p, q are both even integers and p is a multiple of q. Moreover, X admits a separating polynomial.*

For the proof of this theorem we need the following lemma :

Lemma 3.3. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that X is a separable Banach space such that every weakly null and normalized sequence in X has a subsequence which is equivalent to the unit vector basis in ℓ_N. If X has a separating polynomial of degree at most N, then there is an N-homogeneous separating polynomial.*

Proof : First note that X is superreflexive and therefore X^* is also separable. Consider $\{z_n^*\}$ a countable dense set on the unit sphere of X^*. Now let P be a separating polynomial of degree N, with $P = P_1 + \cdots + P_{N-1} + P_N$, where each P_i is an i-homogeneous polynomial.

Assume that there is no N-homogeneous separating polynomial on X. Then,

$$\inf_{\|x\|=1} P_N(x) = 0$$

and this infimum is also 0 on the unit sphere of each finite codimensional subspace. Then, we can construct a sequence in the following way : for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider $x_n \in Kerz_1^* \cap \cdots \cap Kerz_{n-1}^*$ with $\|x_n\| = 1$ and verifying that $|P_N(x_n)| \leq \frac{1}{n}$. By the construction, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is weakly null, and then it has a subsequence which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_N. In particular it has a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ with an upper N-estimate, and by [8] for instance, it follows that $\{(P_1 + \cdots + P_{N-1})(x_{n_k})\}_k \to 0$. Hence, $\{P(x_{n_k})\}$ also converges to zero, which contradicts the fact that P is separating.

Proof of Theorem 3.2: The proof will be done in two steps:

Step 1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$. Assume that X has a T^p-smooth bump function. Then X admits a separating polynomial.*

Proof of Step 1: It is enough to prove that the space X verifies the hypothesis of Theorem 1-6, that is, the property:

"For all $x \in X$ there is a constant $C > 0$, such that for each $\delta > 0$ there is a finite codimensional subspace H_δ of X, such that $\|x + h\| - \|x\| \geq C\|h\|^p$ for all $h \in H_\delta$ with $\|h\| = \delta$."

If $x = 0$ the result is trivial. Now supose $x \neq 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\{e_1^n, \ldots, e_n^n\}$ the usual basis in ℓ_q^n. The point x can be expressed as $x = \{x_n\}$, where each $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^n e_i^n$, and $\|x\|^p = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|x_n\|_{\ell_n^q}^p$. We denote $x^n = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$, and it is clear that $\|x - x^n\| \to 0$. For each n we consider the following subspaces of X

$$H^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \ell_q^i \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_n = \bigoplus_{i=n+1}^\infty \ell_q^i.$$

It is easy to check that if $y \in H^n$ and $z \in H_n$ then,

$$\|y+z\|^p = \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p.$$

Now, applying the mean value theorem to the real function $\lambda(t) = t^p$ on the interval $I = [\|x\|/2, \|x\|+1]$, there is a positive constant $C > 0$, such that if $s, t \in I$ and $s < t$, the following inequality holds :

$$t - s \geq C(t^p - s^p). \qquad (*)$$

Therefore, if $h \in H_n$ and $\|h\| = \delta \leq 1$, we obtain from (*) that

$$\|x+h\| - \|x\| \geq \|x^n + h\| - 2\|x - x^n\| - \|x^n\|$$

$$\geq C\|h\|^p - 2\|x - x^n\|).$$

Consider now N large enough so that $\|x - x^N\| \leq \frac{C}{2}\delta^p$. For $h \in H_N$, $\|h\| = \delta$ we have

$$\|x+h\| - \|x\| \geq \frac{C}{2}\|h\|^p$$

as we required.

In the second step we characterize the spaces $\bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$ which admit a separating polynomial.

Step 2. *The space $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$ admits a separating polynomial if and only if p, q are both even integers satisfying that $p = kq$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof of Step 2: First of all, it is clear that if p is a multiple of q and both are even integers, the space X has a separating polynomial. Indeed, the expression $P(x) = \|x\|^p$ defines a p-homogeneous separating polynomial on X.

On the other hand, if X admits a separating polynomial, p is an even integer (since ℓ_p is a subspace of X).

We may assume that $p > q$. Otherwise, if $p < q$, since X is saturated with subspaces of cotype p, by [4] X would actually have cotype p, but ℓ_q is finitely represented in X, and this is not possible.

Since $p > q$ the space X has modulus of convexity of power type p (see [7]) and by Theorem 1-1, there exists a separating polynomial of degree at most p on X. By Lemma 3-3, we may actually assume that there is a p-homogeneous separating polynomial.

Let P be a p-homogeneous polynomial on X verifying that $P(x) \geq 1$ if $\|x\| \geq 1$. Let us denote by π_n the projection of the space ℓ_q onto the finite dimensional subspace ℓ_q^n, and by i_n the inclusion mapping from ℓ_q^n into the space $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$. We consider the sequence of polynomials $\{P_n\}_n$ on ℓ_q defined by $P_n = P \circ i_n \circ \pi_n$. First of all, $\{P_n\}_n$ is a uniformly bounded sequence of p-homogeneous polynomials on X; hence by Theorem 4 in [14] there is a subsequence $\{P_{n_j}\}_j$ of $\{P_n\}_n$ which converges pointwise to a p-homogeneous polynomial P^* on ℓ_q. Let $x \in \ell_q$ be fixed, with $\|x\| = 2$; then for n large enough, we have

that $P_n(x) \geq 1$. This implies that the P^* is a p-homogeneous separating polynomial on ℓ_q, and by [9] it follows that p must be a multiple of q.

Remark. Since the space $\bigoplus_{\ell_2} \ell_4^n$ has modulus of smoothness of power type 2, by the results in [12], it admits a twice Gâteaux differentiable equivalent norm and, in particular, a continuous bump function with second-order directional Taylor expansion at each point. However, by Theorem 3-2, there is not even a bump function with Taylor expansion of second order at each point. In particular, this space can not be renormed with an equivalent twice Fréchet differentiable norm.

Proof of Theorem 3.1: First, in the case that $r = min\{p, q\}$ is not an even integer, since $L_r \subset L_p(L_q)$ the result follows by Corollary 1-2.

Next, let $r = q$ be an even integer. Since the space X has modulus of convexity of power type r (see [7]) by Theorem 1-1, the existence of a T^r-smooth bump function implies the existence of a separating polynomial in X, and therefore in the subspace $\bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$, which is not possible (see Second Step in the proof of Theorem 3-2).

Finally, in the case that $r = p$ is an even integer, if the space X has a T^p-smooth bump function, also has the subspace $\bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$. Then, it follows from Theorem 3-2 that p, q must be both even integers, and p is a multiple of q.

In the following Proposition we prove that for each real valued function in the space $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$ with certain properties of uniform higher differentiability, we may contruct an associated function on the space ℓ_q with shares the same properties of differentiability.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$. Let F be a real valued function on X which is uniformly H^r-smooth. For each n we consider the function F_n defined on ℓ_q as $F_n = F \circ i_n \circ \pi_n$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{F_{n_j}\}_j$ of $\{F_n\}_n$ which converges to a uniformy H^r-smooth function F^* on ℓ_q.*

Proof : Since F is uniformly H^r-smooth, if k is the greatest integer strictly less than r, F is C^k-smooth and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|F^{(k)}(x) - F^{(k)}(y)\| \leq C\|x - y\|^{r-k}.$$

We claim that the family $\{F_n^{(i)}\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$, is equicontinuous on bounded sets of ℓ_q. Indeed, denote $T_n = i_n \circ \pi_n$; then for all $x, y, h \in \ell_q$, with $\|h\| \leq 1$,

$$\left|\Big(F_n^{(k)}(x) - F_n^{(k)}(y)\Big)(h)\right| = \left|\Big(F_n^{(k)}\big(T_n(x)\big) - F_n^{(k)}\big(T_n(y)\big)\Big)(h)\right| \leq \qquad (*)$$

$$\leq C\|T_n(x) - T_n(y)\|^{r-k}.\|T_n(h)\| \leq C\|x - y\|^{r-k}.$$

Hence the sequence $\{F_n^{(k)}\}_n$ is equicontinuous on ℓ_q, and also uniformly bounded on bounded sets of ℓ_q. This implies, by using the mean value theorem, that the sequence

$\{F_n^{(i)}\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$ is equicontinuous on bounded sets of ℓ_q. Then, by using Lemma 4 in [14], for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a subsequence of $\{F_n\}_n$ which converges pointwise to a function F_m^* on the ball $B(0;m)$ of ℓ_q, and with their i-th derivatives pointwise converging to the i-th derivative of F_m^* for all $i = 0, \ldots, k$. By a diagonalization procedure, passing to a subsequence, we may assume that there exists a subsequence $\{F_{n_j}\}_j$ converging to a function F^* pointwise on the whole space ℓ_q. Then, by passing to the limit in (*), it follows that F^* is also uniformly H^r-smooth, as we required.

From this proposition we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $1 < q < p < \infty$, and q not an even integer. Then the space $X = \bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$ is not H^r-smooth for $r > q$.*

Proof : Assume that X is H^r-smooth. Since the space X does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0, it is indeed uniformly H^r-smooth (see [5, Th. V-3-1]). Let F be a function on X which is uniformly H^r-smooth, and such that $F(x) = 0$ whenever $\|x\| \geq 1$ and $F(0) = 1$. Consider the sequence of functions $\{F_n\}_n$ as in Proposition 3-4. Then, for a fixed point x in ℓ_q with $\|x\| \geq 2$, for n large enough we have that $F_n(x) = 0$. This implies that the function F^* obtained according to Proposition 3-4 is, actually, a uniformly H^r-smooth bump function on ℓ_q. But since $r > q$ this is not possible.

Remark. From Theorem 3-2 and Corollary 3-5, we obtain that, in Theorem 3-1, we may replace $\bigoplus_{\ell_p} \ell_q^n$ by $L_p(L_q)$, and the conclusion is the same except in the case that $q < p$, and q is not an even integer. In this case, we know from Corollary 3-5 that there is no uniformly H^r-smooth bump function for $r > q$. However, we do not know whether it is also true in this case that there is no T^q-smooth bump function.

References

[1] AVEZ A., *Calcul différentiel*. Masson, 1983.

[2] BONIC R. and FRAMPTON J., Smooth functions on Banach manifolds, *J. Math. Mech.* **15**, 877-898, (1966).

[3] CARTAN H., *Cours de calcul différentiel*. Hermann (Collection Méthodes), 1977.

[4] DEVILLE R., Geometrical implications of the existence of very smooth bump functions on Banach spaces, *Israel. J. Math.* **67**, 1-22, (1989).

[5] DEVILLE R., GODEFROY G. and ZIZLER V., *Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces*. Pitman Monographs in Mathematics 64. Longman Scientific and Technical, 1993.

[6] FABIAN M., PREISS D., WHITFIELD J. H. M. and ZIZLER V., Separating polynomials on Banach spaces, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **40**, 409-422, (1989).

[7] FIGIEL T., On the moduli of convexity and smoothness, *Studia Math.* **56**, 121-155, (1976).

[8] GONZALO R. and JARAMILLO J.A., Compact polynomials between Banach spaces, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad.* **95A**, 213-226, (1995).

[9] HABALA P. and HAJEK P., Stabilization of polynomials, *C. R. Acad Sci. Paris* **320**, 821-825, (1995).

[10] KURZWEIL J., On approximation in real Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **14**, 213-231, (1954).

[11] LEONARD I.E. and SUNDARESAN K., Geometry of Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces-Smoothness, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **198**, 229-251, (1974).

[12] McLAUGHLIN, R. POLIQUIN, J. VANDERWEFF,J. and ZIZLER V., Second-order Gâteaux differentiable bump functions and approximations in Banach spaces, *Can. J. Math.* **45(3)**, 612-625, (1993).

[13] MALEEV, R. M. and TROYANSKI, S. L., Smooth functions in Orlicz spaces, *Contemporary Math.* **85**, 355-369, (1989).

[14] NEMIROVSKII A. S. and SEMENOV S. M., On polynomial approximation of functions on Hilbert spaces, *Math. USSR Sbornik* **21**, 255-277, (1973).

[15] PHELPS R. R., *Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1364. Springer-Verlag, 1989.

[16] STEGALL C., Optimization of functions on certain subsets of Banach spaces, *Math. Ann.* **236**, 171-176, (1978).